



PROTEST COMMITTEE DECISION Case No: 1 With Case(s): Race: 4

PARTIES

Boat or Committee or Person	Class/Fleet	Represented By/Not Present
Boat 76	Bareboat Charter A	Akihiro Maeda
Boat 74	Bareboat Charter A	Sergei Musikhim

WITNESSES

Name	Boat – Committee - Role

Valid – No (if 'No' use Facts Found to document this decision)

<p>Case Summary: Windward/Leeward incident before the start with a collision</p>
<p>Procedural Matters The time limit for submitting the protest was extended because the website was not working Translator: Kira Pecherska</p>
<p>Facts found: The protestor picked up the protest form before 13.29, and he was told to watch the website for the time limit. (SI 3.1 refers). The website was not working, so that the protestor did not know what the time limit was. The actual protest time limit was 13.51. The protest was submitted at 15.15. The protestor hailed protest at the time of the incident, but did not have a flag. A crew member waved a red hat. The aft port stanchion of boat 76 was bent. 76 continued racing but did not finish within the time limit.</p>
<p>Conclusion & Rules: A red hat does not comply with rule 61.1. The damage was not serious so rule 61.1(a)(4) did not apply.</p>
<p>Decision: The protest is invalid. Hearing closed</p>
<p>Protest Committee: Ewa Jadowska, POL, IJ (Chair): Tom Sheppard, HKG, IJ:: Sungchul Jeong.KOR, IJ: Rut Subniran, THA; IJ, Al Chandler, THA.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">International Jury: Yes</p>
<p>Signed: _____ Date, time: 5 Dec 2018: 1730</p>